

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/981,388	10/17/2001	Wayne John Harrison	JAMES-014B	6815
7663	7590 08/03/2005		EXAM	INER
	BRUNDA GARRED & B	MUSSER, BARBARA J		
75 ENTERPRISE, SUITE 250 ALISO VIEJO, CA 92656			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1733	-

DATE MAILED: 08/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/981,388	HARRISON, WAYNE JOHN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Barbara J. Musser	1733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be only within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M	May 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		,				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-5,13 and 14</u> is/are pending in the a	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-5,13 and 14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		,,,,,				
 Certified copies of the priority documen 	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		eived in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	ived.				
Attachment(s)		•				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	an i acont Application (FTO-192)				
5. Patent and Trademark Office	otion Summer	D-1 (D N 2: 12 : 2725				

Art Unit: 1733

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 2-5, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Regarding claim 13, the original disclosure does not provide sufficient support in the following claimed subject matter of corrugating the paper sheet at a temperature of 130-170C, but does disclose corrugating it at a temperature of 130-160C(paragraph [0049]). Therefore, the corrugating temperature range of 160-170C has not been described in the original disclosure. The original disclosure does not provide sufficient support for bonding the liner to the corrugated paper within 5 minutes of corrugation, but rather discloses bonding them together as the corrugated material exits the corrugation rollers(paragraph 0032]). The original disclosure does not provide sufficient support for the liner laminate comprising a paper layer, a metallized layer, and a polyester film, but rather discloses a paper layer and a metallized polyester film. Therefore the original disclosure does not disclose a separate metal layer or application of the metal layer to the paper layer rather than to the polyester layer. The original disclosure does not

provide sufficient support for a melting point for the polyester film used in the process. The original disclosure does not provide sufficient support for the opposed sides of the polyester layer each being treated by one of chemical treatment and corona treatment. The original disclosure does disclose treating both sides with chemical treatment or treating the side opposite the metallized side with corona or chemical treatment. The original disclosure does not provide sufficient support for the pre-treatment imparting an increased melting point to the polyester film.

Regarding claim 14, the original disclosure does not disclose joining the corrugated paper and the liner together within 60 seconds of corrugating.

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 3-5, it is unclear whether the "paper sheet" of the claims is the "corrugated paper sheet" of claim 13 as there are two paper layers in the product of claim 13.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments are based substantially on limitations not totally supported by the original disclosure. Therefore, they are not germane to the patentability of the claimed subject matter.

Regarding applicant's argument that the specification discloses treating both sides of the polyester film, examiner agrees the specification discloses chemically treating both sides of the film. However, this does not indicate the original disclosure discloses corona treating both sides of the film, nor does it disclose performing one treatment to one side and a different treatment to the other side.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara J. Musser whose telephone number is (571)

Application/Control Number: 09/981,388

Art Unit: 1733

272-1222. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday; alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blaine Copenheaver can be reached on (571)-272-1156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BJM

SAM CHUAN YAO PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5